

**AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:**

Please amend claims 1 and 15, as set forth below.

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the Application:

**Claim 1 (currently amended):** A method of aligning a query protein sequence with a ~~template which comprises~~ a set of pre-selected protein structures in a database, to identify the structure of the template in the database that best fits the query protein sequence, comprising the steps of:

selecting an energy function, said energy function being a linear combination of energy parameters, with weight factors as coefficients;

establishing linear programming (LP) constraints for threading (or aligning) said query protein sequence with each structure in said set of pre-selected protein structures in a database; and

performing a linear programming analysis based on a linear programming formulation including said energy function under said constraints, to optimally align said query protein with said ~~template~~set of pre-selected protein structures, the structure of the template that optimally aligns with the query protein sequence being identified as the best fit.

**Claim 2 (previously presented):** The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of using training to determine values for said weight factors.

**Claim 3 (original):** The method of claim 2, where said energy function comprises the function:  $\min W_m E_m + W_s E_s + W_p E_p + W_g E_g + W_{ss} E_{ss}$ .

**Claim 4 (original):** The method of claim 1, where alignment gaps are confined to loops.

**Claim 5 (original):** The method of claim 1, where only interaction between core residues is considered.

**Claim 6 (previously presented):** The method of claim 1, wherein said step of performing a linear programming analysis is done on the assumption that solutions are likely to be integral.

**Claim 7 (original):** The method of claim 6, wherein said step of performing a linear programming analysis comprises the step of using a branch and bound technique to perform said linear programming analysis.

**Claim 8 (previously presented):** The method of claim 1, where said linear programming constraints require that:

one core can be aligned to a unique sequence position;

conflicts between said core and the adjacent two cores are forbidden;

at most one interaction variable can have a value of 1 between any two cores that have interactions between each other;

if two cores have their alignments to the sequence respectively and also have interactions between them, then at least one interaction variable should be 1; and

the values of x (alignment variables) and y (interaction variables) are to be between 0 and 1 when the problem is relaxed to a linear program.

**Claim 9 (previously presented):** The method of claim 1, where said linear programming constraints require that:

one core can be aligned to a unique sequence position;

the values of x (alignment variables) and y (interaction variables) are to be between 0 and 1 when the problem is relaxed to a linear program;

conflicts between two neighbouring cores are forbidden; and

it is guaranteed that one interaction variable has a value of 1 if and only if its two generating x variables have a value of 1.

**Claim 10 (previously presented):** The method of claim 1, where said linear programming constraints require that:

one core can be aligned to a unique sequence position;

the values of x (alignment variables) and y (interaction variables) are to be between 0 and 1 when the problem is relaxed to a linear program; and

when one x variable is 1 is equivalent to that one of the y variables generated by it is 1.

**Claim 11 (previously presented):** The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of performing graph reduction to decrease the number of integer variables and speed up the LP analysis.

**Claim 12 (canceled).**

**Claim 13 (original):** The method of claim 1, comprising step of generating a dense contact graph prior to said step of performing a linear programming analysis.

**Claim 14 (canceled).**

**Claim 15 (currently amended):** A system for aligning proteins comprising:

a computer operable to align a query protein sequence with a ~~template consisting of a~~ set of pre-selected protein structures in a database, to identify the structure ~~of the template~~ that best fits the query protein sequence, by performing the steps of:

selecting an energy function;

determining values for weight factors in said energy function;

establishing linear programming (LP) constraints for threading (or aligning) said query protein sequence with each structure in said set of pre-selected protein structures in a database;  
and

performing a linear programming analysis based on a linear programming formulation including said energy function under said constraints, to optimally align said query protein with ~~said template, the~~ each structure of the template that optimally in the database by linear programming, the structure that aligns with the query protein sequence ~~being identified as the best fit~~ with the minimum energy score being the final output.

**HAYES SOLOWAY P.C.**  
3450 E. SUNRISE DRIVE,  
SUITE 140  
TUCSON, AZ 85718  
TEL. 520.882.7623  
FAX. 520.882.7643

175 CANAL STREET  
MANCHESTER, NH 03101  
TEL. 603.668.1400  
FAX. 603.668.8567